REFERENCES

- Alonzo, A. C., & Steedle, J. t. (2009). Developing and assessing a force and motion learning progression. Science Education, 93(3), 389–421.
- Anderson, L. W., & Krathwohl, D. R. (2001). A Taxonomy for Learning, Teaching and Assessing: A Revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives: Complete Edition. new York: Longman.
- Australian Council for Educational Research. (2018). the role of learning progressions in global scales. Retrieved June 18, 2019, from [rd] ACER website: <u>https://rd.acer.org//article/the-role-of-learning-progressions-in-global-scales</u>
- Bernstein, B. (1999). Vertical and Horizontal Discourse: An essay. British Journal of Sociology of Education, 20(2), 157–173.
- Black, p., & Simon, S. (1992). progression in learning science. Research in Science Education, 22(1), 45–54.
- Black, p., & Wiliam, D. (2002). Standards in Public Examinations. London: King's College London.
- Black, p., Wilson, m., & Yao, S.-Y. (2011). Road maps for Learning: A Guide to the navigation of Learning progressions. Measurement: Interdisciplinary Research and Perspectives, 9(2–3), 71–123.
- Corcoran, T., Mosher, F. A., & Rogat, A. (2009). Learning progressions in sci-ence: An evidence-based approach to reform (CPRE Research Report RR-63). Philadelphia, PA: Consortium for Policy Research in Education.
- Duncan, R. G., & Hmelo-Silver, C. E. (2009). Learning progressions: Aligning curriculum, instruction, and assessment. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 46, 606–609. doi: 10.1002/tea.20316
- Efland, A. D. (1995). the Spiral and the Lattice: Changes in Cognitive Learning theory with Implications for Art Education. Studies in Art Education, 36(3), 134–153.
- Fensham, p. (1994). progression in school science curriculum: A rational prospect or a chimera? Research in Science Education, 24(1), 76–82.
- Fischer, K. W. (2008). Dynamic cycles of cognitive and brain development: measuring growth in mind, brain, and education. In A.m. Barrio, K.W. Fischer, & p.J. Léna (Eds.), The educated brain: Essays in Neuroeducation (pp.127–150).

- Gagné, R. m. (1968). presidential address of division 15 learning hierarchies. Educational Psychologist, 6(1), 1–9.
- Gagné, R. m., & Bassler, O. C. (1963). Study of retention of some topics of elementary nonmetric geometry. Educational Psychology, 54(3), 123–131.
- Goldstein, H. (1998). Models for reality: new approaches to the understanding of educational processes. professorial Lecture presented at the Institute of Education University of London. Institute of Education, University of London.
- Gotwals, A. W., & Sanger, n. B. (2013). Validity Evidence for Learning progression-Based Assessment Items that Fuse Core Disciplinary Ideas and Science practices. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 50(5), 597–626.
- Graf, E. A., & van Rijn, p. W. (2016). Learning progressions as a Guide for Design: Recommendations Based on Observations from a mathematics Assessment. In S. Lane, m. Raymond, & t. m. Haladyna (Eds.), Handbook of Test Development (pp.165–189). Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge.
- Hammer, D., & Sikorski, t.-R. (2015). Implications of Complexity for Research
- Hess, K. K. (2012). Learning progressions in K-8 classrooms: How progress maps can influence classroom practice and perceptions and help teachers make more informed instructional decisions in support of struggling learners(Synthesis Report 87). Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota, National Center on Educational Outcomes. R
- Hess, K. K. (Ed. & Principle author) (2011). Learning progressions frameworks designed for use with the common core state standards in English language arts & literacy K-12. National Alternate Assessment Center at the University of Kentucky and the National Center for the Improvement of Educational Assessment.
- Kennedy, C., & Wilson, M. (2007). Using progressvariables to interpret student achievement and progress(BEAR Technical Report No. 2006-12-01). Universityof California, Berkeley.
- Kuhn, D. (2005). Education for thinking. HarvardUniversity Press.
- · Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

- Lehrer, R. & Schauble, L. (2000). Modeling inmathematics and science. In R. Glaser (Ed.), Advances in instructional psychology: Education design and cognitive science (vol. 5, pp. 101-169). Mahway, NJ:
- Masters, G. N., Adams, R. A., & Wilson, M. (1990).Charting student progress. In T. Husen & T. N.Postlethwaite (Eds.), International encyclopedia of education: Research and studies. Supplementaryvolume 2 (pp. 628–634). Oxford, England: Pergamon Press.
- NATIONAL COMMISSION ON MATHEMATICS AND SCIENCE TEACHING FOR THE 21ST CENTURY(2000). Before it's too late (Washington, DC: US Department of Education).
- NORWICH, B. and DUNCAN, J. (1990). Attitudes, subjective norm, perceived preventive factors, intentions and learning science: testing a modified theory of reasoned action. British Journal of Educational Psychology, 60, 312–321.
- NOTTINGHAM SKILLS and ENTERPRISE NETWORK (1992). Skills and enterprise briefing (Nottingham:University of Nottingham).
- O'LEARY, J. (2001). Pay teachers or face meltdown. Times, 29 March, p.
 8.
- OLIVER, J. S. and SIMPSON, R. D. (1988). Influences of attitude toward science, achievement motivation, and science self concept on achievement in science: a longitudinal study. Science Education, 72, 143–155.
- ORMEROD, M. (1971). The 'social implications' factor in attitudes to science. British Journal of Educational Psychology, 41, 335–338.
- ORMEROD, M. B. and DUCKWORTH, D. (1975). Pupils' attitudes to science (Slough: NFER).
- OSBORNE, J. and SIMON, S. (1996). Primary science: past and future directions. Studies in Science Education, 27, 99–147.
- OSBORNE, J. F. and COLLINS, S. (2000). Pupils' and parents' views of the school science curriculum (London: King's College London).
- PARIS, S. G. (1998). Situated motivation and informal learning. Journal of Museum Education, 22,22–26.
- PELL, T. and JARVIS, T. (2001). Developing attitude to science scales for use with children of agesfrom five to eleven years. International Journal of Science Education, 23, 847–862.

- PIBURN, M. D. (1993). If I were the teacher . . . qualitative study of attitude toward science. Science Education, 77, 393–406.
- POTTER, J. and WETHERELL, M. (1987). Discourse and social psychology: beyond attitudes and behaviour (London: Sage Publications).
- ROBERTSON, I. J. (1987). Girls and boys and practical science. International Journal of Science Education, 9, 505–518.
- SANDMAN, R. S. (1973). The development, validation, and application of a multidimensional mathematics attitude instrument. Unpublished doctoral thesis (Minnesota: University of Minnesota).
- SCHIBECI, R. A. (1984). Attitudes to science: an update. Studies in Science Education, 11, 26–59.
- SHAMOS, M. H. (1995). The myth of scientific literacy (Brunswick: NJ: Rutgers University Press).
- SHARP, C., HUTCHISON, D., DAVIS, C. and KEYS, W. (1996). The take-up of advanced mathematics and science courses (London: Schools Curriculum and Assessment Authority).
- SHRIGLEY, R. L. (1990). Attitude and behaviour are correlates. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 27, 97–113.
- Tsai, C.C. (1998). An analysis of scientific epistemological beliefs and learning orientations of Tai- eighth graders. Science Education, 82(4), 473– 489.
- Von glasersfeld, E. V. (1986). Steps in the construction of others and reality. In R. Trappl (ed.), Po-wer, autonomy, and utopias: New Approaches toward complex systems (107-116). London: Ple-nium Press.
- Wandersee, J.H., Mintzes, J.J., & Novak, J.D. (1994). Research on alternative conceptions in science. In D. Gabel (Ed.), Handbook of research on science teaching and learning (pp. 177–210). New
- Yeany, R. H. (1991). A unifying theme in science education? NARST News, 33 (2), 1-3
- Yip D. Y. (1998). Identification of misconceptions in novice biology teachers and remedial strategies for improving biology learning. International Journal of Science Education, 20(4), 461-477.