"A Comparative Study of the Achievement in English Language of Class VI Students,

Studying in Head Start Schools and

Non-Head Start Schools".

D-156

DISSERTATION
SUBMITTED TO BARKATULLAH UNIVERSITY
FOR THE PARTIAL FULFILLMENT
OF
DEGREE OF MASTER IN EDUCATION
2002-2003

SUPERVISED BY:

Dr.(Mrs.) A.Grewal

Prof. in Education

R.I.E. Bhopal (M.P.)



SUBMITTED BY:

Rashmi Kaushal

M.Ed. (Ele. Edu.)

REGIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION, SHYAMLA HILLS, BHOPAL.



CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that Ms. Rashmi Kaushal, student of Masters Degree in Education (Elementary Education) course of Regional Institute of education has conducted this dissertation titled "A Comparative Study of the Achievement in English Language of Class VI Students, Studying in Head Start Schools and Non-Head Start Schools" under my guidance.

Her dissertation is original and fulfills all the requirements laid down in ordinance of Barkatullah University, Bhopal relating to the Degree of Masters in Education.

Date: 19.4.03

Place: R.I.E. Bhopal

Ahrewal Dr.(Mrs.) A. Grewal

Prof. in Education

Dean of Instructions

R.I.E. Bhopal

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I take this opportunity to express my deep, heartfelt and sincere gratitude to Dr. (Mrs.) A. Grewal, Dean of Instructions, R.I.E. Bhopal, for her expert, smooth and timely guidance which steered this work efficiently, motivating encouragement that inspired me to work to optimum of my capacity, affectionate care and blessings that kept me afloat in even the most stressful situations and her efforts in trying to imbibe her own patent quality of dedication and hard work in me.

A deep sense of obligation rests in my heart for Prof. S. A. Shaffi, Principal, R.I.E. Bhopal, for providing facilities in the institute and opportunity to learn multi-dimensionally by availing them.

My gratefulness extends to Dr. U. L. Narayanan, Reader in Education, M.Ed. incharge, R.I.E., Bhopal for expertly and untiringly helping me in making sense of the figures in the data collected for this study and teaching simplified use of computer to such great extent that I could gather the courage of analyzing data and writing my dissertation on computer, myself.

I owe to whole of the learned faculty of Department of Education, R.I.E. Bhopal, for extending their expert help in every aspect of the study.

My sincere thanks to Mr. P. K, Tripathi, Librarian and library staff who provided and, helped in locating, the appropriate literature. There support had been invaluable and attitude most cooperative.

My sincere regards are due to Dr. Rakesh Dubey, Lecturer, SCERT, Bhopal, all the other experts in Head Start Cell, SCERT, Bhopal, for their support and the teachers and experts for guiding me in making the tools.

I also wish to thank the Officer in-charge (SCERT) of Chattarpur District, the principals, teachers and students of the schools for their cooperation in the collection of necessary data.

I dedicate this work to my parents, who taught me the value of hard work, stood beside me in all the ups and downs and enabled me to complete this work by showering their



blessings and providing all emotional and material support. My brother deserves special thanks for his timely and valuable help. A very special mention of the role of my son is inevitable here who has been the source of inspiration for taking up this particular study, as he learns through computer very effectively and works on it confidently in his early age itself and witnessing this effectiveness I decided to study the effect of Computer Assisted Instruction on students' achievement. He also has been very understanding, despite his young age, when I engrossed myself in the study.

Rashmi Kaushal M.Ed. (Ele.Edu.) R.I.E. Bhopal



INDEX

CONTENT	S			an institute of	PAGE NO.
	CERTIFICATE			LIBRARY)	
	ACKNOWLEDGEM		IENT	* 3	
	LIST C	OF TABLES		Shopal	
	LIST C	F FIGURES			
1.	CHAI	PTER 1	INTRO	DUCTION	1-18
	1.1	Commitmen	t for Edu	cation	1
	1.2	Need of Edu	cation		1
	1.3	Growth of E	ducation		3
	1.4	District Prin	nary Edu	cation Programme	5
*	1.5	Computer in	Educati	on	6
	1.6	Head Start I	Programn	me	7
	1.7	Need and In	nportance	e of the Study	13
	1.8	Statement o	f the Pro	blem	14
	1.9	Objectives o	f the Stu	dy	15
	1.10	Hypotheses	of the St	udy	15
	1.11	Delimitation	ns of the S	Study	17
2.	CHA	PTER 2	REVIE	W OF RELATED	
			LITER	ATURE	19-31
	2.1 In	troduction			19
	2.2 Computers in Education				19
	2.3 Studies Conducted Abroad				20
	2.3 Indian Studies on Computer Assisted Instruction				27
	2.4 S	ummary of th	ne Review	ved Studies	31
3.	CHA	PTER 3	RESEA	RCH PROCEDURE	
			AND D	ATACOLLECTION	32-41
	3.1 Introduction				32
	3.2 Design of the Study				32
	3.3 S	ample			33

	3.4 Instruments	used		,	2.4
	3.5 Try-out	abca			34
	3.6 Data Collecti	on			37
				3	37
	3.7 Scoring and T			2	1 0
	3.8 Statistical Te	-		4	1
4.	CHAPTER 4	ANALYS	IS AND		
		INTERP	RETATIO	N 4	2-69
	4.1 Introduction			4	2
	4.2 Techniques U	sed in Data	Analysis	4	2
	4.3 Achievement	Analysis		4	3
	4.4 Opinion Anal	ysis		5	6
5.	CHAPTER 5	SUMMA	RY AND		
		CONCL	JSIONS	7	0-77
	5.1 Introduction			7	0
	5.2 Significance	of the Study		7	1
	5.3 Statement of	the Problem	1	7	1
	5.4 Objectives of	5.4 Objectives of the Study			1
	5.5 Hypotheses	5.5 Hypotheses of the Study			2
	5.6 Design of the	e Study		7	4
	5.7 Conclusions			7	5
	5.8 Educational	Implication	S	7	6
	5.9 Suggestions	for Further	Research	7	6
6.	REFERENCE	S			•
7.	APPENDICES	3			
	1. ACHIEVEMI	ENT TEST I	N ENGLISI	H LANGUAGE	
	2. OPINIONNA	AIRE TO	STUDY	STUDENTS'	OPINION
	TOWARDS	HEAD STAF	T INTERV	ENTIONS	

institute o

LIBRARY

Bhopal

LIST OF TABLES

S.NO.	TABLE NO.	DESCRIPTION
1.	1.1	Growth of Elementary Education since 1950.
2.	3.1	Sample of the study as selected by the researcher.
3.	3.2	Schedule of data collecting from school.
4.	3.3	Scoring of opinion of students.
5.	4.1	t-value for the Mean-scores in English Language
		between Head Start and Non-Head Start school
		students.
6.	4.2	t-value for the Mean-scores in the "Alphabetical
		Knowledge" component between Head Start and
		Non-Head Start school students.
7.	4.3	t-value for the Mean-scores in the "Animal Babies
		and Dwellings" components between Head start
		and Non-Head start school students.
8.	4.4	t-value for the Mean-scores in the "Identifying
		Colours" components between Head start and
		Non-Head start school students.
9.	4.5	t-value for the Mean-scores in the "Knowing Body
		Parts" components between Head start and Non-
		Head start school students.
10.	4.6	t-value for the Mean-scores in the "Sentence
		Formation" components between Head start and
		Non- Head start school students.
11.	4.7	t-value for the Mean-scores in the "Phonetics"
		component between Head start and Non- Head
		start school students.

12.	4.8	t-Values for the Mean-scores of achievement in
		all the six components between Head Start schools
		students and Non-Head Start school students.
13.	4.9	t-Value for the Mean-scores in English Language
		to compare achievement between urban Head
		Start school students and rural Head Start school
		students.
14.	4.10	t-value for the Mean-scores in English Language to
	u l	compare achievement between boys of Head Start
		Schools and girls of Head Start schools.
15.	4.11	Responses of the students on Opinionnaire about Head Start Interventions in teaching learning process.



LIST OF FIGURES



S.NO.	FIGURE NO.	DESCRIPTION
1.	Fig. 4.1	Bar-Graph showing % of students opining on
		finding the use of computers interesting.
2.	Fig 4.2	Bar-Graph showing % of students opining on
		feeling lonely while working on computer.
3.	Fig 4.3	Bar-Graph showing % of students opining on
		trying to avoid learning through computer.
4.	Fig 4.4	Bar-Graph showing % of students opining on
		not liking interference of teacher, while
		learning through computer.
5.	Fig 4.5	Bar-Graph showing % of students opining on
		fast learning speed while learning through
		computer.
6.	Fig 4.6	Bar-Graph showing % of students opining on
		experiencing boredom while learning through
		computer.
7.	Fig 4.7	Bar-Graph showing % of students opining on
		feeling comfortable with the use of computer
		in learning.
8.	Fig 4.8	Bar-Graph showing % of students opining on
		not feeling afraid of using computer in
		learning.
9.	Fig 4.9	Bar-Graph showing % of students opining on
		finding computer usage difficult in learning.
10.	Fig 4.10	Bar-Graph showing % of students opining on
		teacher teaching better than computer.
11.	Fig 4.11	Bar-Graph showing % of students opining on
		liking to learn by using CDs.

12.	Fig 4.12	Bar-Graph showing % of students opining on
		getting opportunity to use computer.
13.	Fig 4.13	Bar-Graph showing % of students opining on
		feeling the need of teacher, when learning
		through computer.
14.	Fig 4.14	Bar-Graph showing % of students opining on
		learning at own pace through computer.
15.	Fig 4.15	Bar-Graph showing % of students opining on
		regularity in attending school under Head Start
		programme.

