A STUDY OF AWARENESS OF LEARNING DISABILITY AMONG PRE-SERVICE TEACHERS

A Dissertation Submitted to the BARKATULLAH UNIVERSITY, Bhopal in the partial fulfilment of the requirement for the degree

of

Three Year Integrated B.Ed.-M.Ed. course Session 2020-2021

Supervisor

Department of Education,

Prof. I.B. CHUGHTAI

RIE Bhopal

Submitted by MANISHA RATH

Student, Three Year Integrated B.Ed.-M.Ed. course



DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

REGIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION, BHOPAL

A STUDY OF AWARENESS OF LEARNING DISABILITY AMONG PRE-SERVICE TEACHERS

A Dissertation Submitted to the BARKATULLAH UNIVERSITY, Bhopal in the partial fulfilment of the requirement for the degree

of

Three Year Integrated B.Ed.-M.Ed. course
Session 2020-2021

Supervisor

1 0 DEC 2021

Submitted by

Prof. I.B. CHUGHTAI

MANISHA RATH

Department of Education,

Student, Three Year Integrated

RIE Bhopal

B.Ed.-M.Ed. course





D - 515

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

REGIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION, BHOPAL

CLARATION

I Manisha Rath hereby declare that the Dissertation, entitled "A STUDY OF AWARENESS OF LEARNING DISABILITY AMONG PRE-SERVICE TEACHERS" submitted to the Regional Institute of Education, Bhopal for the award of the Degree of Three Year Integrated B.Ed. M.Ed. course is a record of original research work done by me during 2020-2021 under the guidance of Prof. I.B. Chughtai, Department of Education, Regional Institute of Education, Bhopal.

I also declare that the research work done by me is original and natural. This dissertation has not been submitted before other by me or by any other for the award of any degree or diploma in any University.

Manisha Rath

Manisha Rath

Student, Three Year Integrated

B.Ed. M.Ed. Course

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that this dissertation work entitled 'A Study of Awareness of Learning Disability among Pre-service Teachers' has been done by MANISHA RATH, of Three Year Integrated B.Ed –M.Ed course under my supervision and guidance.

It is her genuine work and I consider it worthy of submission for the award of the degree.

Place: Bhopal

Date: 267 2021

Prof. I.B. Chughtai

Department of Education,

Regional Institute of Education, Bhopal

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I do express my immense thanks to Prof. N. Pradhan, Former Principal, RIE, Bhopal and Dr. V.K. Kakaria, Principal in charge, RIE, Bhopal for permitting me to carry out this research and for their support and guidance during the period of this study.

I express my immense gratitude to my Research Supervisor Prof.

I.B. Chughtai, Department of Education, RIE, Bhopal for his constant supervision and guidance from the very beginning of the study. He is a great source of inspiration to me. His readiness to understand students' problems is a telling evidence of the great deal of humaneness he is endowed with.

My thanks are expressed to Prof. Ratnamala Arya, Head, Department of Education for her valuable suggestions during the course of this study.

I express my gratitude to Dr.Brundaban Nayak, Principal, DIET, Keonjhar for permitting me to execute this work. I also thank Mrs.Plabani Bala, Lecturer for her immense support to carry out my research work.

My special thanks to the other Lecturers of DIET, Keonjhar for the needed cooperation during the course of this study.

This study would not have been completed without the support and co-operation of students of DIET. I am very grateful to them.

Finally, my earnest gratitude is expressed towards my family members. It is the blessing and grace of Almighty for giving me the strength and focus.

Manisha Rath

Manisha Rath

CONTENT

111LE1	
DECLARATIONii	
CERTIFICATEiii	ĺ
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTiv	V
TABLE OF CONTENTv - v	ii
LIST OF TABLESvii	i
LIST OF GRAPHSix	
CHAPTER 1	
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 INTRODUCTION	2
1.2 TYPES OF SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABIILITIES	5
1.2.1 Dyslexia	5
1.2.2 Dyscalculia	6
1.2.3 Dysgraphia	6
1.3 OPERATIONAL DEFINITION OF KEY TERMS	6
1.3.1 Awareness	6
1.3.2 Learning disability	7
1.3.3 Pre service teachers	7
1.4 RATIONALE / NEED AND JUSTIFICATION OF THE	
PROBLEM	
1.5 LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY	
1.6 CONCLUSION	8
CHAPTER 2	
REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	9
2.1 INTRODUCTION	0
2.2 IMPORTANCE OF REVIEW OF LITERATURE	0

2.3 STUDIES CONDUCTED IN THE FIELD	
CHAPTER 3	
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	7
3.1 INTRODUCTION18	3
3.2 STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM	3
3.3 OBJECTIVES)
3.4 THE RESEARCH DESIGN)
3.5 CONSTRUCTION OF RESEARCH TOOL)
3.5.1 Description of the tool)
3.5.2 Questionnaire to study the awareness of learning disability	
among pre-service teachers)
3.6 STANDARDIZATION OF THE TOOL	2
3.6.1 Validity of the tool	2
3.7 SAMPLE OF THE STUDY	2
3.8 VARIABLES USED IN THE STUDY	2
3.9 DATA COLLECTION	3
3.10 SCORING PROCEDURE	3
3.11 STATISTICAL TECHNIQUES USED IN THE STUDY23	3
3.11.1 Percentage analysis24	1
3.11.2 The Mean (M)24	4
3.11.3 Mean Percentage score	4
CHAPTER 4	
DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION	5
4.1 INTRODUCTION	5
4.2 ANALYSIS OF LEVEL OF AWARENESS OF LEARNING DISABILITY	5
4.3 ANALYSIS ON THE BASIS OF MEAN PERCENTAGE SCORE	
).
4.4 ANALYSIS OF THE LEVEL OF AWARENESS OF THE	
CONCEPT OF LEARNING DISABILITY	9

METHODS OF LEARNING DISABILITY	
4.6 ANALYSIS OF LEVEL OF AWARENESS OF THE IDENTIFICATION OF LEARNING DISABILITY	34
CHAPTER 5	
FINDINGS, EDUCATIONAL IMPLICATIONS AND CONCLU	ISION
	37
5.1 FINDINGS OF THE STUDY	38
5.2 EDUCATIONAL IMPLICATION OF THE STUDY	38
5.3 SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER STUDY	39
5.4 CONCLUSION	40
REFERENCE	41- 44
ANNEXIRE	45 - 50

LIST OF TABLES

Table no.	TITLE	Page no.
1	Dimensions of awareness of Learning disability	24
2	Percentage distribution of Pre-service Teachers across various levels of awareness regarding learning disability	. 29
3	Mean Percentage Score	31:
4	Percentage distribution of correct response of the respondents to the test items about the concept of learning disability	33
5	Percentage distribution of correct response of the respondents to the test items about the teaching methods of learning disability	35
6	Percentage distribution of correct response of the respondents to the test items about the identification of learning disability	37

LIST OF GRAPHS

Graph No.	TITLE	Page No.
1	Percentage distribution of respondents across various levels of awareness of learning disabilities	30
2	Mean Percentage Score	32
3	Percentage distribution of correct response of the respondents to the test items about the concept of learning disability	34
4	Percentage distribution of correct response of the respondents to the test items about the teaching methods of learning disability	36
5	Percentage distribution of correct response of the respondents to the test items about the identification of learning disability	38