SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION



CHAPTER V

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

In the present study, an attempt was made to find out the change proneness and role efficeiency of primary school teachers of Bhopal City.

OBJECTIVE

- To find out the defference between primary teacher Government & Private schools in role efficiency. find out the.
- To defference between trained and untrained primary school teachers in role efficieny.
- To identy difference between primary school teachers of age below 40 and above 40 years in role efficiency.
- To find out the defference between primary teachers of Government and Private schools of primary school teacher in change proneness.
- To defferen *ce*. between trained and untrained primary school teachers in change proneness.
- 6. To identify difference between primary school teachers of age below 40 and above 40 year in change proneness.

Institue

LIBRA

HYPOTHESIS

- 1. Whether Goverment and Private school primary teachers differ in their role efficiency?
- Is there any difference in role efficiency of trained and untrained primary school teacher?

- 3. Whether below 40 and above 40 years primary school teachers differ in their role efficiency?
- 4. Whether Government & Private primary school teachers differ in change porneness?
- 5. Is there any difference in change proneness of trained and untrained teachers?
- 6. Wheather below 40 and above 40 year primary school teachers differ in their change proneness?

DESIGN

The survey method was used in this study:

SAMPLE

The subject of the study were 124 teachers of 16 primary schools of Bhopal city.

Random sampling technique was used during collection of data 16 schools were drawn from 4 zones i.e. East, West, South and North. From each zone Government and Private schools were selected randomly.

TOOLS



The following tools were used for the present study.

- 1) Change proneness Inventory of M. Mukhopadhyay.
- 2) Role efficiency scale of Udai Pareek.

Data was collected by administ ering change pronenessinventory and role efficiency scale along with general information related to type of school working, training status and age.

LIMITATIONS

Following are some of the limitations of this study -

- The sample was limited to 124 teachers of 16 primary schools.
- The study was confined only to the teachers of Bhopal city.
- 3) In this study only primary school teachers were included.

MAJOR FINDING OF THE STUDY

Thought their is no statistically significant difference:

- 1. The Private school teachers are slightly better in role efficiency then Government school teachers.
- 2. Untrained teachers are little better in role efficiective then trained teachers.
- Below 40 teachers are slightly better in role efficiency then above 40 teachers.
- The Private school teachers are slightly better in change proneness than Government school teachers.
- 5. Untrainined teachers are little better in change proneness than trained teachers.
- 6. Below 40 teachers are slightly better in change proneness than above 40 teachers.



EDUCATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

- 1. Both Government and Private school teachers should have orientation **go** that they should be aware about their roles and try to improve in their role efficiency also both groups of teachers should be more adaptable towords change.
- The training component of primary teachers should take care of improvement of role efficiency and change proneness.
- The teachers who are above 40 years should try to be adaptable, take more interest in their job and should prove Themselves equally effective as below 40 years teachers.

SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

Similar study can be conducted to find at the sex difference. in role efficiency and change proneness.

- Studies can be conducted to find out the status of urban and rural teachers in Role efficiency and change proneness.
- Similar study may be conducted for the secondary school teachers related Role efficiency and change proneness.
- A study may be conducted for the SC, ST, OBC and general caste teachers.

