

# CHAPTER - V

## SUMMARY, FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

### 5.1 EXAMINING AWARENESS OF NEP 2020 AMONG TEACHER EDUCATORS IN BHOPAL

The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 is a transformative framework designed to overhaul the Indian education system, placing educators at the core of its vision. This study examined the awareness level of teacher educators in Bhopal concerning NEP 2020, aiming to gauge not just their knowledge but also their readiness for effective implementation. Given the significant role these stakeholders play in educational reform, it is essential to identify existing awareness levels, influencing factors, and suggest actionable strategies for enhancement.

A descriptive survey method was employed, with data collected from 100 participants using a structured questionnaire. Quantitative analysis was performed to draw conclusions about the depth of understanding and the conditions necessary for better policy implementation.

### 5.2 SUMMARY OF MAJOR FINDINGS

The study reveals that teacher educators in Bhopal possess a high overall awareness of NEP 2020, with a mean score of 15.94 out of 20 ( $\approx 80\%$ ). Measures such as the standard deviation (2.64) and a coefficient of variation of 16.53% indicate that most educators cluster around the high awareness mark, although there remains a notable range of scores. This suggests that while the dissemination of policy information is largely successful, isolated pockets of lower awareness exist.

#### **Dimension-Wise Analysis:**

- **Vision and Aims:** Approximately 75% of educators achieved the top score level, reflecting an excellent grasp of NEP 2020's primary objectives—such as equitable access, holistic education, and lifelong learning.
- **Curricular & Pedagogical Structure:** Around 74% scored high in this dimension, indicating effective understanding of key reforms like the 5+3+3+4 educational structure and experiential learning.
- **Foundational Literacy and Numeracy (FLN):** Awareness in this area is moderate; 58% of respondents showed only basic awareness and 10% scored zero, pointing to a significant gap in understanding.

- **Innovations and Suggested Reforms:** With 69% scoring between 7 and 10, educators are well informed about innovative reforms, including initiatives like PARAKH and holistic progress cards.

### **Demographic Variations:**

- **Gender:** Although male educators generally exhibited a higher proportion of high awareness (around 40%) compared to females (25–30%), the differences were not statistically significant. Nevertheless, the higher incidence of low awareness among female educators underscores the need for gender-sensitive programs.
- **Locale (Urban vs. Rural):** Rural educators showed a higher share of high awareness (about 43%) against urban educators ( $\approx 30\%$ ). Urban respondents, however, had a relatively larger proportion in the low awareness category. Despite these observable trends, statistical analyses confirm that the association between locale and awareness is not significant.
- **Impact of Training/Workshop Attendance:** The data strongly indicate that workshop attendance plays a critical role in enhancing awareness. Teacher educators who participated in training sessions showed markedly higher awareness levels, with none falling into the low awareness category. Chi-Square tests ( $p = 0.001$ ) and correlational analyses (Pearson's  $r = 0.367$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) affirm a significant positive relationship between training and NEP 2020 awareness.

### 5.3 RECOMMENDATIONS

In light of these findings, the following recommendations are proposed:

- 1. Integrate NEP 2020 Training into Pre-Service Teacher Education Curricula:**  
All teacher education programs (B.Ed., M.Ed., etc.) should include a mandatory module on NEP 2020. This module should cover the policy’s vision, objectives, reforms in pedagogy, assessment, curriculum, and governance structures.
- 2. Organize Regular In-Service Workshops and Seminars:**  
Given the substantial improvement in awareness observed among teachers who attended workshops, it is essential that institutions **conduct frequent training sessions**—both offline and online—to ensure continuous professional development.
- 3. Experience-Based Differentiated Training Programs:**  
Since teaching experience significantly affects NEP awareness, training programs should **be tailored to different experience levels**. For example, early-career teachers may need foundational understanding, while experienced educators may benefit from advanced sessions focused on implementation strategies.
- 4. Develop Digital Learning Modules and Micro-Credentials:**  
To provide flexible access, **interactive online courses and micro-certification programs** on NEP 2020 should be developed. These can be delivered through national platforms like DIKSHA or SWAYAM and integrated into teacher appraisal and promotion criteria.
- 5. Mandatory Participation and Certification:**  
Teacher education regulatory bodies (e.g., NCTE, SCERTs) should **mandate certified participation in NEP 2020 orientation programs** as part of both initial teacher training and in-service development.
- 6. Encourage Reflective Practice and Peer Discussion Forums:**  
Institutions should create opportunities for **group discussions, action research, and reflective assignments** on NEP 2020 in teacher training programs to deepen conceptual understanding and promote practical application.

**7. Monitoring and Evaluation Framework:**

Establish a system to **track participation, learning outcomes, and impact** of NEP 2020 awareness initiatives. Feedback loops from teachers should guide further improvements in training content and delivery.

**8. Expand and Regularize Professional Development:**

Implement regular and preferably mandatory NEP 2020 workshops and training sessions. Special focus should be directed toward enhancing understanding in dimensions like FLN, where awareness is notably lower.

**9. Implement Gender-Sensitive Training Programs:**

Design interventions that specifically address the practical awareness gap observed between male and female educators. Targeted mentoring and support networks can help empower female educators and bridge the disparity.

**10. Adopt Locale-Specific Strategies:**

Although overall differences by locale are not statistically significant, urban educators appear to need additional outreach. Tailored initiatives in urban areas should be developed to address this relative disadvantage.

**11. Establish Continuous Monitoring and Feedback:**

Integrate ongoing assessment mechanisms and refresher courses to ensure that the understanding of NEP 2020 is sustained over time. This can also allow for the timely modification of training programs based on educator feedback.

## 5.4 EDUCATIONAL IMPLICATIONS

### **Strengthening Policy Integration:**

The strong overall awareness among teacher educators provides a solid foundation for embedding NEP 2020 principles into curriculum planning and classroom practices. Well-informed educators are better positioned to translate policy into effective teaching and learning outcomes.

### **Enhancing Capacity Building:**

The noticeable gap in FLN awareness indicates the need for targeted capacity-building measures. Prioritizing FLN in training sessions will help ensure that students develop strong foundational skills critical for future learning.

### **Promoting Inclusive Professional Development:**

Even though statistical tests do not show significant differences in awareness by gender or locale, the practical trends call for equitable training initiatives. Ensuring that professional development programs are accessible and tailored to diverse needs is essential for comprehensive policy implementation.

## 5.5 SUGGESTIVE MEASURES FOR EFFECTIVE IMPLEMENTATION OF NEP 2020

### **Institution-Level Initiatives:**

- Organize regular, in-house training sessions and peer-led workshops within educational institutions.
- Develop and disseminate online modules and virtual webinars to provide continuous professional development on NEP 2020 components.

### **Incentivization Programs:**

- Provide certifications, career credits, or recognition for educators who successfully complete training programs and actively adopt NEP principles.
- Implement motivational structures that reward educators for proactive engagement with NEP 2020 initiatives.

### **Feedback and Mentorship Mechanisms:**

- Establish structured peer-to-peer mentoring opportunities where experienced educators guide their peers on NEP 2020 implementation strategies.
- Create robust feedback systems that collect insights from educators to refine and update training content continuously.

**Localized Outreach and Collaboration:**

- Launch community-based training programs and outreach initiatives, particularly targeting urban educators who appear to benefit less from current programs.
- Foster partnerships among government agencies, NGOs, and educational institutions to facilitate resource sharing and coordinated training efforts.

**Up-to-Date Resource Development:**

- Regularly update training materials with the latest policy insights and practical case studies that illustrate successful implementation examples.
- Incorporate interactive elements and practical examples that make the training sessions more engaging and contextually relevant.

## 5.6 LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

**Geographical Limitation:**

The study is restricted to teacher educators in Bhopal, which may limit the generalizability of the results across different regions or varied cultural contexts.

**Sample Size and Diversity:**

Although a sample of 100 respondents offers valuable insights, it might not capture the full diversity of experiences among teacher educators, especially when further segmented by gender or locale.

**Self-Reported Responses:**

The reliance on a structured questionnaire introduces the possibility of response bias. Respondents might overestimate their understanding due to social desirability or other subjective factors.

**Cross-Sectional Study Design:**

The study's design provides a snapshot of the current situation but does not offer insights into how awareness levels might change over time. This limits the understanding of the long-term impact of training and development initiatives.

## 5.7 SCOPE FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

### **Broader Geographic Coverage:**

Future studies should involve teacher educators from multiple regions or states. This would help build a comprehensive national overview of NEP 2020 awareness and facilitate comparisons across different contexts.

### **Longitudinal Studies:**

Conducting longitudinal research will enable tracking of changes in awareness and implementation practices over time, yielding deeper insights into the dynamics of policy dissemination and adoption.

### **Mixed-Methods Approaches:**

Combining quantitative methods (such as surveys) with qualitative methods (like interviews or focus group discussions) can provide richer, multi-dimensional insights into the factors affecting NEP awareness.

### **Impact on Classroom Practices:**

Future investigations should explore how teacher educators' awareness of NEP 2020 translates into classroom practices and student outcomes, thereby closing the loop between policy comprehension and educational impact.

### **Comparative Studies on Training Modalities:**

Research that compares the effectiveness of different professional development modalities (for example, online versus face-to-face training, or peer mentoring versus expert-led sessions) would help identify the most effective strategies for enhancing awareness.

## 5.8 FINAL REMARKS

The study presents a promising and multifaceted picture of NEP 2020 awareness among teacher educators in Bhopal. Notable is the strong understanding of core policy dimensions such as vision, curricular reforms, and innovative practices, which suggests that many educators are well-prepared to integrate NEP's tenets into their teaching. However, the findings also underscore the importance of continuous, targeted professional development, particularly in areas like foundational literacy and numeracy (FLN), where gaps persist.

The data indicate that training and workshops serve as powerful catalysts for improving awareness, suggesting that investments in such programs yield tangible benefits. While differences across gender and locale are not statistically significant, the practical disparities hint at underlying systemic issues that could be addressed through nuanced, inclusive strategies. Overall, the study reassures stakeholders that the groundwork for NEP 2020 implementation is strong, while also charting clear directions for further improvements.

## 5.9 CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the research confirms that teacher educators in Bhopal exhibit a commendable level of awareness regarding NEP 2020, particularly in understanding the policy's vision and key reforms. The positive impact of professional development—especially through workshops and training—is evident and calls for broader, more inclusive implementations of such programs. Addressing moderate awareness in dimensions like FLN and designing interventions that cater to diverse needs (be it by gender or locale) are critical next steps.

Future research should expand the scope geographically and temporally while incorporating mixed methodologies, so that educators' evolving needs and practices are continually addressed. Ultimately, sustained efforts, strategic interventions, and regular monitoring will be pivotal in fully realizing the transformative potential of NEP 2020 in shaping a modern, inclusive, and effective educational ecosystem.

## REFERENCES

1. Ministry of Education. (2020). National Education Policy 2020. Government of India. <https://www.education.gov.in/en/nep-2020>
2. Agarwal, P., & Banerjee, S. (2021). Awareness of National Education Policy 2020 among teachers in Delhi. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 84, 102450. Doi: 10.1016/j.ijedudev.2021.102450
3. Kumar, A., & Sharma, R. (2022). A study of teachers' awareness and preparedness for implementing NEP 2020 in Madhya Pradesh. *Educational Research and Reviews*, 17(2), 76-88. doi:10.5897/ERR2022.3782
4. Sharma, P., & Singh, R. (2023). Understanding the key features of NEP 2020: A survey of teachers in Bhopal. *Journal of Educational Policy and Planning*, 29(3), 215-230.
5. Gupta, N., & Verma, S. (2023). Knowledge gaps among teachers about the National Education Policy 2020 in Bhopal. *Indian Journal of Teacher Education*, 41(1), 56-72.
6. Sengupta, S., & Basu, A. (2022). Perceived implications of NEP 2020 on teaching practices: A case study of primary institution teachers in Bhopal. *Journal of Educational Change*, 18(4), 527- 541. doi:10.1007/s10833-022-09428-z
7. Bhatt, S., & Choudhury, S. (2021). Promoting awareness of NEP 2020 through teacher training: A qualitative analysis of workshops in Bhopal institutions. *Journal of Educational Leadership and Management*, 17(3), 189-205.
7. National Council of Educational Research and Training (NCERT). (2021). Training Module for NEP 2020: Empowering Teachers for Transformation. NCERT Publications..
8. Mukherjee, S., & Das, R. (2023). Teachers' Perceptions of NEP 2020: Challenges and Opportunities in Implementation. *Journal of Educational Research and Practice*, 13(1), 98–112. doi:10.1177/09731849231183987
9. Chakraborty, A., & Mahato, D. (2022). Bridging Policy and Practice: Teachers' Readiness for NEP 2020 in Urban Indian Schools. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 113, 103662. doi:10.1016/j.tate.2021.103662

10. **Neelofar, & Bai, G. S. (2024).** Awareness and Attitude of Secondary School Teachers towards NEP 2020 with Reference to School Education. *ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts*, 5(6), 1153–1159. doi:10.29121/shodhkosh.v5.i6.2024.2144
11. **Dalnaik, S. K. (2024).** Perception of School Teachers towards the Provisions of National Education Policy 2020: A Study in Mayurbhanj District. *E-Chetana*, 9(2), 40–43. <https://www.echetana.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/08/10.-R-E-Sagar-Kumar-Dalnaik-1.pdf>
12. **Nair, H. B. (2023).** Empowering the Future Educators: A Comprehensive Examination of the Significance of Integrating NEP 2020 Awareness in Pre-Service Teacher Education. *RJPSS*, 48(Special Issue), 24–33. doi:10.31995/rjpss.2023.v48iS.004
13. Kumar, A., & Singh, R. (2021). Awareness and understanding of NEP 2020 among higher education faculty: A national survey. *Journal of Educational Policy Studies*, 12(3), 45–58.
14. Sharma, P. (2021). Educators' perceptions of early childhood education reforms under NEP 2020. *International Journal of Early Childhood Education*, 9(2), 112–127.
15. Gupta, M., Patel, S., & Reddy, K. (2022). Digital transformation and multilingual education: Educators' perspectives on NEP 2020. *Education and Technology Review*, 15(1), 89–104.
16. Mehta, S., & Rao, D. (2020). The role of teacher educators in implementing NEP 2020: Challenges and opportunities. *Teacher Education Quarterly*, 47(4), 23–39.
17. Choudhary, N. (2021). Awareness and preparedness of B.Ed colleges in Maharashtra regarding NEP 2020. *Journal of Teacher Education Research*, 10(2), 67–81.
18. Das, A. (2022). Curriculum alignment of teacher training institutions with NEP 2020 goals. *Indian Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 14(1), 55–70.
19. Verma, S. (2022). Awareness of NEP 2020 among teacher educators in Madhya Pradesh: A comparative study. *Regional Education Journal*, 8(3), 101–115.
20. Bansal, R., & Tiwari, L. (2023). Engagement with NEP 2020 content in Indore's government and private colleges. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, 19(2), 134–149.

21. Saxena, A. (2023). Understanding of NEP 2020 reforms among Bhopal's teacher educators. *Central India Educational Review*, 11(4), 78–92.
22. Singh, V., & Roy, P. (2021). Barriers to effective implementation of NEP 2020: A study on policy dissemination. *Policy and Education Journal*, 13(1), 44–59.
23. Kale, M. (2022). Resistance to pedagogical change among senior faculty under NEP 2020. *Journal of Educational Change*, 16(2), 88–102.
24. Bhattacharya, R. (2021). Language and complexity: Challenges in comprehending NEP 2020 documents. *Language and Education Policy Review*, 7(3), 115–130.
25. Mishra, P. (2020). Transitioning to competence-based teacher training in light of NEP 2020. *Teacher Development Quarterly*, 9(4), 33–47.
26. Raj, S., & Iqbal, M. (2021). Curriculum revisions in B.Ed and M.Ed programs post-NEP 2020. *Journal of Curriculum and Instruction*, 12(2), 59–73.
27. Kapoor, R. (2022). Aligning teacher training syllabi with NEP 2020 directives: A critical analysis. *Educational Reforms Journal*, 14(1), 22–36.
28. Hariramani, S., & Narang, K. (2023). Educators' attitudes towards NEP 2020: Need for enhanced training. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 17(3), 99–113.
29. Singh, T., & Patel, R. (2023). Blended learning in NEP 2020: Teacher educators' openness to technology integration. *Journal of Digital Education*, 5(1), 60–74.