Chapter 4

Data Analysis and Interpretation

Chapter 4

ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

This chapter deals with the presentation, analysis and the interpretation of the data with the help of various tools of the study mentioned in Chapter 3. In order to facilitate presentation and bring brevity in interpretation the entire analysis has been presented in five sections as follows –

- First. Presentation and Analysis of the content of Political Science text books of Class IX and multimedia package to see conformity.
- Second. Presentation and Analysis of opinion of the Political Science teachers and students of Class IX.
- Third. Presentation and Analysis of opinions of teachers and students as per their Gender.

4.1. Presentation and Analysis of the Content of Political Science Text Book with Content of Multimedia Package

Objective No. 1

In this section, the syllabus of Political Science Class IX text book is analyzed by content analysis method.

- To study the conformity of the content of multimedia package with content of Political Science Class IX.
 - The conformity of the content of text book of Political Science in Class IX was established by following steps –
- The researcher studied and analyzed the textbook content thoroughly with self prepared check list, to see which aspect of text book and multimedia package match.

2. This check list was also given to the three teachers to confirm the content of Political Science textbooks syllabus with the software package they are using. Therefore, four persons examine the conformities of the content in the text books and in multimedia package. The different components of multimedia used in the package for various chapters are given below —

Table 4.1.

Showing Class – IXth Multimedia Package Components in Political Science

| Sr. No. | Chapter Name | Text | Graphic | Audio | Video | Animation | De |
|------------|---|-----------------|-----------|------------|----------------|-----------|------------|
| NO. | Name | | | | | | ipt ion |
| 1. | What is D | emocra | cy? Why D | emocracy | y? | | |
| | What is Democr acy? | 5 (100 %) | 3 (60%) | 4 (80%) | 4 (80%) | 2 (40%) | |
| | Features of Democr | 3 (60 %) | 3 (60%) | 5(100 %) | 5 (100 %) | 4(80%) | |
| | Why Democr acy? | 2 (40 %) | 5 (100%) | 4 (80%) | 4 (80%) | 3 (60%) | |
| | Broader Meaning s of Democr acy | 5 (100 %) | 4 (80%) | 4 (80%) | 2 (40%) | 1 (20%) | |
| 2. | Constituti | onal D | esign | | | | |

| | Democr | 4 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 3 (60%) | | |
|----|--------------------|------|---------|-------|------|----------|--|--|
| | atic | (80 | (100%) | (100% | (40% | | | |
| | Constitu | %) | |) |) | | | |
| | tion in | | | | | | | |
| | South | | | | | | | |
| | Africa | | | | | | | |
| | Why do | 5 | 2 (40%) | 2 | 4 | 1 (20%) | | |
| | we need | (100 | | (40%) | (80% | | | |
| | a | %) | | |) | | | |
| | constitut | | | | | | | |
| | ion? | | | | | | | |
| | Making | 4 | 3 (60%) | 5 | 3 | 5 (100%) | | |
| | of the | (80 | | (100% | (60% | | | |
| | Indian | %) | |) |) | | | |
| | Constitu | | | | | | | |
| | tion | Y | | | | | | |
| | Guiding | 5 | 2 (40%) | 3 | 2 | 4 (80%) | | |
| | Values | (100 | | (60%) | (40% | | | |
| | of the | %) | | |) | | | |
| | Indian | | | | | | | |
| | Constitu | | | | | | | |
| | tion | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Electoral Politics | | | | | | | |
| | Why | 5 | 3 (60%) | 4 | 3 | 2 (40%) | | |
| | Election | (100 | | (80%) | (60% | | | |
| | s? | %) | | |) | 1 | | |
| | What are | 5 | 4 (80%) | 5 | 4 | 2 (40%) | | |
| | our | (100 | | (100% | (80% | | | |
| | Systems | %) | |) . |) | | | |
| | of | | | | | | | |

| | Election ? | | | | | |
|----|--|-----------------|----------|----------|-----------------|---------|
| | What makes Election s in India Democratic? | 5 (100 %) | 3 (60%) | 4 (80%) | 2 (40%) | 2 (40%) |
| 4. | Working o | of Instit | utions | | | |
| 4. | How is a major policy decision taken? | 2 (50 %) | 3 (75%) | (100%) | 5(50 %) | 5 (75%) |
| | Parliame nt | 4 (100 %) | 5 (100%) | 4 (80%) | 4 (80%) | 3 (60%) |
| | Political Executiv e | 2 (40 %) | 4 (80%) | 5 (100%) | 5 (100 %) | 4 (80%) |
| | The Judiciar y | 4 (80 %) | 4 (80%) | 5 (100%) | 3 (60%) | 4 (80%) |
| 5. | Democrat | ic Righ | | | | |
| | Life without Rights | 4 (80 %) | 4 (80%) | 5 (100%) | 3 (60%) | 4 (80%) |
| | Rights in a Democr acy | 3 (60 %) | 4 (80%) | 4 (80%) | 5 (100 %) | 4 (80%) |

| Rights in | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 (80%) |
|-----------|-----|--------|-------|------|---------|
| the | (80 | (100%) | (100% | (80% | |
| Indian | %) | |) |) | |
| Constitu | | | | | |
| tion | | | | | |
| Expandi | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 (40%) |
| ng | (80 | (100%) | (80%) | (60% | |
| Scope of | %) | | |) | |
| Rights | | | | | |

4.2. Presentation and Analysis of the Opinions of Teachers and Students obtained by MPTOA and MPSOA

Objective No. 2 -

To study the opinion of teachers and students of Political Science of Class IX about the multimedia packages.

Table 4.2.

Showing the Opinions of the Teachers in Yes and No on Items of MPTOA

| Items | Response | Teachers (20) | | |
|-------|----------|---------------|----|--|
| | × . | F | % | |
| 1. | A. Yes | 12 | 60 | |
| | B. No | 8 | 40 | |
| 2. | A. Yes | 6 | 30 | |
| | B. No | 14 | 70 | |
| 3. | A. Yes | 4 | 20 | |
| | B. No | 16 | 80 | |
| 4. | A. Yes | 9 | 45 | |
| | B. No | 11 | 55 | |

| 5. | A. Yes | 12 | 60 |
|-----|--------|----|----|
| | B. No | 8 | 40 |
| 6. | A. Yes | 14 | 70 |
| | B. No | 6 | 30 |
| 7. | A. Yes | 14 | 70 |
| | B. No | 6 | 30 |
| 8. | A. Yes | 6 | 30 |
| | B. No | 14 | 70 |
| 9. | A. Yes | 13 | 65 |
| | B. No | 7 | 35 |
| 10. | A. Yes | 13 | 65 |
| | B. No | 7 | 35 |
| 11. | A. Yes | 11 | 55 |
| | B. No | 9 | 45 |
| 12. | A. Yes | 14 | 70 |
| | B. No | 6 | 30 |
| 13. | A. Yes | 12 | 60 |
| | B. No | 8 | 40 |
| 14. | A. Yes | 15 | 75 |
| | B. No | 5 | 25 |
| 15. | A. Yes | 12 | 60 |
| | B. No | 8 | 40 |
| 16. | A. Yes | 16 | 80 |
| | B. No | 4 | 20 |
| 17. | A. Yes | 9 | 45 |
| | B. No | 11 | 55 |
| 18. | A. Yes | 13 | 65 |
| | B. No | 7 | 35 |
| 19. | A. Yes | 13 | 65 |
| | B. No | 7 | 35 |

| 20. | A. Yes | 15 | 75 |
|-----|--------|----|----|
| | B. No | 5 | 25 |
| 21. | A. Yes | 7 | 35 |
| | B. No | 13 | 65 |
| 22. | A. Yes | 13 | 65 |
| | B. No | 7 | 35 |
| 23. | A. Yes | 13 | 65 |
| | B. No | 7 | 35 |
| 24. | A. Yes | 17 | 85 |
| | B. No | 3 | 15 |
| 25. | A. Yes | 5 | 25 |
| | B. No | 15 | 75 |
| 26. | A. Yes | 12 | 60 |
| | B. No | 8 | 40 |
| 27. | A. Yes | 5 | 25 |
| | B. No | 15 | 75 |

Table 4.3.

Coding

| Sl. No. | Items | Color |
|---------|-------------|-------|
| A. | Favorable | |
| B. | Unfavorable | |

Table 4.4.

Showing the Opinions of Students in Yes or No on items on MPSOA

| Items | Response | | Students (80) | | |
|-------|----------|-----|---------------|-------|--|
| | | | F | % | |
| 1. | I. | Yes | 45 | 56.25 | |

| | II. | No | 35 | 43.75 |
|-----|-----|-----|----|-------|
| 2. | I. | Yes | 61 | 76.25 |
| | II. | No | 19 | 23.75 |
| 3. | I. | Yes | 47 | 58.75 |
| | II. | No | 33 | 41.25 |
| 4. | I. | Yes | 62 | 77.50 |
| | II. | No | 18 | 22.50 |
| 5. | I. | Yes | 55 | 68.75 |
| | II. | No | 25 | 31.25 |
| 6. | I. | Yes | 65 | 81.25 |
| | II. | No | 15 | 18.75 |
| 7. | I. | Yes | 14 | 17.50 |
| | II. | No | 66 | 82.50 |
| 8. | I. | Yes | 63 | 78.75 |
| | II. | No | 17 | 21.25 |
| 9. | I. | Yes | 7 | 8.75 |
| | II. | No | 73 | 91.25 |
| 10. | I. | Yes | 42 | 52.50 |
| | II. | No | 38 | 47.50 |
| 11. | I. | Yes | 32 | 40 |
| | II. | No | 48 | 60 |
| 12. | I. | Yes | 28 | 35 |
| | II. | No | 52 | 65 |
| 13. | I. | Yes | 64 | 80 |
| | II. | No | 16 | 20 |
| 14. | I. | Yes | 22 | 27.5 |
| | II. | No | 58 | 72.50 |
| 15. | I. | Yes | 59 | 73.75 |
| | II. | No | 21 | 26.25 |
| 16. | I. | Yes | 17 | 21.25 |

| | II. | No | 63 | 78.75 |
|-----|------|-----|----|-------|
| 17. | I. | Yes | 66 | 82.50 |
| | II. | No | 14 | 17.50 |
| 18. | I. | Yes | 30 | 37.50 |
| | II. | No | 50 | 62.50 |
| 19. | I. | Yes | 51 | 63.75 |
| | II. | No | 29 | 36.25 |
| 20. | I. | Yes | 22 | 27.50 |
| | II. | No | 58 | 72.50 |
| 21. | I. | Yes | 66 | 82.50 |
| | II. | No | 14 | 17.50 |
| 22. | , I. | Yes | 38 | 47.50 |
| | II. | No | 42 | 52.50 |

Table 4.5. Showing x^2 (chi square) values of Opinions of the Teachers about the Multimedia Package in Terms of their Gender.

| Items | Response | Teachers Ge | ender wise | x ² | df |
|-------|----------|-------------|------------|--|----|
| | | Male | Female | | |
| | | Observed | Observed | | |
| 1. | Yes | 5 | 7 | The chi-square | 1 |
| | No | 5 | 3 | statistic is 0.8333. The p- value is .36131. The result is not significant at p < .05 | |
| 2. | Yes | 2 | 4 | The chi-square statistic is | 1 |
| | No | 8 | 6 | 0.9524. The p- value is .329114. The | |

| | | | | result is not significant at p < .05 | |
|----|-----|---|---|--|---|
| 3. | Yes | 1 | 3 | The chi-square | 1 |
| | No | 9 | 7 | statistic is 1.25. The p-value is .263552. The result is not significant at p < .05. | |
| 4. | Yes | 3 | 6 | The chi-square | 1 |
| | No | 7 | 4 | statistic is 1.8182. The p- value is .17753. The result is not significant at p < .05 | |
| 5. | Yes | 7 | 5 | The chi-square | 1 |
| | No | 3 | 5 | statistic is 0.8333. The p- value is .36131. The result is not significant | - |
| 6. | Yes | 6 | 8 | at p < .05 The chi-square | 1 |
| | No | 4 | 2 | statistic is 0.9524. The p- value is .329114. The result is not significant at p < .0 | |
| 7. | Yes | 9 | 5 | The chi-square | 1 |
| | No | 1 | 5 | statistic is 3.8095. The p- value is .050962. The result is not significant at p < .05 | |
| 8. | Yes | 2 | 4 | The chi-square | 1 |
| | No | 8 | 6 | statistic is 0.9524. The p- value is .329114. The result | |

| 21. | Yes | 6 | 1 | The chi-square | 1 |
|-----|-----|---|----|---|---|
| | No | 4 | 9 | statistic is 5.4945. The p- value is 0.019076. The result is significant at p < .05 | |
| 22. | Yes | 6 | 7 | The chi-square | 1 |
| | No | 4 | 3 | statistic is 0.2198. The p- value is 0.639207. The result is not significant at p < .05 | |
| 23. | Yes | 5 | 8 | The chi-square | 1 |
| | No | 5 | 2 | statistic is 1.978. The p- value is 0.159599. The result is not significant at p < .05 | |
| 24. | Yes | 7 | 10 | The chi-square | 1 |
| | No | 3 | 0 | statistic is 3.529. The p-value is 0.060. The result is not significant at p < .05 | |
| 25. | Yes | 3 | 2 | The chi-square | 1 |
| | No | 7 | 8 | statistic is 0.2667. The p- value is .605577. The result is not significant at p < .05 | |
| 26. | Yes | 4 | 8 | The chi-square | 1 |
| | No | 6 | 2 | statistic is 3.3333. The p- value is .067889. The result is not significant at p < .05 | |

| 27. | Yes | 1 | 4 | The chi-square 1 | |
|-----|-----|---|---|--|--|
| | No | 9 | 6 | statistic is 2.4. The p-value is .121335. The result is not significant at p < .05 | |

Interpretation

With reference to Table 10, results show that x^2 value of Item No. 11 is 5.495, it is significant at 0.05 level. The x^2 value of Item No. 21 is 5.495, it is significant at 0.05 level. Hence, the opinion of male and female teachers differs on Item no. 11 and Item no. 21, that is Multimedia software lesson are easy and simple. Female have a favorable opinion on Item No. 11 and male teachers have a favorable opinion on Item No. 21.

On other items opinions of the teacher do not differ significantly in respect to gender.

Conclusion

Hence, with reference to Table no. 10 the related null hypothesis is accepted that opinion of teachers does not differs in term of their gender and H1 is rejected except on two items of MPTOA.

Table 4.6.

Showing x^2 (chi square) values of Opinions of the Students about the Multimedia Package in Terms of their Gender.

| Items | Response | Students | Students | x^2 | df |
|-------|----------|----------------|----------------|-------|----|
| | | Gender wise | Gender wise | | |
| | | Male | Female | | |
| 1. | Yes | 22 | 23 | | 1 |

| | | | | is not significant | |
|-----|-----|-----|----|---|---|
| 0 | V | 2 | | at p < .05 | 1 |
| 9. | Yes | 2 | 5 | The chi-square | 1 |
| | No | 38 | 35 | statistic is 1.409. The p-value is .235222. The result is not significant at p < .05 | |
| 10. | Yes | 20 | 22 | The chi-square | 1 |
| | No | 20. | 18 | statistic is 0.2005. The p-value is .654317. The result is not significant at p < .05. | |
| 11. | Yes | 20 | 12 | The chi-square | 1 |
| | No | 20 | 28 | statistic is 3.3333. The p-value is .067889. The result is not significant at p < .05. | |
| 12. | Yes | 10 | 18 | The chi-square | 1 |
| | No | 30 | 22 | statistic is 3.5165. The p-value is .060761. The result is not significant at p < .05. | |
| 13. | Yes | 34 | 30 | The chi-square | 1 |
| | No | 6 | 10 | statistic is 1.25. The p-value is .263552. The result is not significant at p < .05. | |
| 14. | Yes | 10 | 12 | The chi-square | 1 |
| | No | 30 | 28 | statistic is 0.2508. The p-value is .616524. The result is not significant at p < .05. | |
| 15. | Yes | 30 | 29 | The chi-square | 1 |
| | No | 10 | 11 | statistic is 0.0646. The p-value is .799416. The result is not significant at p < .05 | |
| 16. | Yes | 10 | 7 | The chi-square | 1 |
| | No | 30 | 33 | statistic is 0.6723. The p-value is | |

| | 2 | | | .412262. The result is not significant at p < .05. | |
|-----|-----|----|----|--|-----|
| 17. | Yes | 30 | 36 | The chi-square | 1 |
| | No | 10 | 4 | statistic is 3.1169. The p-value is .077485. The result is not significant at p < .05. | |
| 18. | Yes | 15 | 15 | The chi-square | 1 |
| | No | 25 | 25 | statistic is 0. The p- value is 1. The result is not significant at p < .05 | |
| 19. | Yes | 20 | 31 | The chi-square | 1 |
| | No | 20 | 9 | statistic is 6.545. The p-value is .010518. The result is significant at p < .05. | |
| 20. | Yes | 10 | 12 | The chi-square | 1 |
| | No | 30 | 28 | statistic is 0.2508. The p-value is .616524. The result is not significant at p < .05 | 2.5 |
| 21. | Yes | 35 | 31 | The chi-square | 1 |
| | No | 5 | 9 | statistic is 1.3853. The p-value is .239204. The result is not significant at p < .05. | |
| 22. | Yes | 18 | 20 | The chi-square | 1 |
| | No | 22 | 20 | statistic is 0.2005. The p-value is .654317. The result is not significant at p < .05 | |

Interpretation

With reference to Table no. 11, results shows that the x^2 value of all the items of opinionnaire are not significant at 0.05 level except Item No. 4, 5 and 19. The value of x^2 for Item No. 4 is 10.3226 is significant at 0.05 level. There is difference of opinion between male and female students in Item

No. 4. The value of x^2 for Item No. 5 is 13.0909 is significant at 0.05 level. There is difference of opinion between male and female students in Item No. 5. The value of x^2 for Item no. 19 is 6.545 is significant at 0.05 level. All other items are not significant. Hence, the opinion of students about political science multimedia package does not differs in terms of their gender.

Conclusion

Hence, with reference to Table No. 11, the related null hypothesis (H02) is accepted that opinion of students does not differs in term of their gender and H02 is rejected except on three Items of MPSOA.