<u>Chapter 4</u> <u>Analysis and</u> <u>Interpretation of Data</u>

CHAPTER 4

Analysis And Interpretation of The Data

The present chapter describes the presentation of data, so that data can be statistically analyzed. The data collected by administering the tests mentioned in earlier chapter.

4.1 statistics used in the study

(a). Mean

To denote average

(b). standard deviation

To measure variability

(c). level of significance

It is a measure of the strength of the evidence that sample before you will reject the null hypothesis and conclude that the effect is statistically significant. The researcher determines the significance level before conducting the experiment.

In this research the level of significance was 0.05.

(d). T test:-

To determine the significance of difference between two means

4.2 Presentation of data

In the following tables the data is represented

Table 4.1 Description of control group before treatment

Number of students 'N'	Mean 'M'	Standard deviation 'SD'	
22	10.4545455	2.9556608	

Table 4.2 Description of control group before treatment

Number of students 'N'	Mean 'M'	Standard deviation 'SD'		
26	10.53846154	3.849475489		

Table 4.3 Description of control group after treatment

Number of students 'N'	Mean 'M'	Standard deviation 'SD'
22	10.8636364	2.43575459

Table 4.4 Description of experimental group after treatment

Mean 'M'	Standard deviation 'SD'
13.7272727	3.26863621

4.3 Analysis of data

After the calculations analyzed data is presented in following tables

<u>Table 4.5</u> Table 4.5 pre test comparison of control group and experimental group

Group (N)	Degree of freedo m 'df'	Mean 'M'	Standard deviation	SEm	T score	P score	Level of significance
Control Group (22)	46	10.45454	2.9556608	0.630008	0.0835	0.9338	difference is not statistically
Experiment al Group (26)		10.53846	3.84947548 9	0.754929			Significant.

Conclusion: A reference to the table 4.5 shows that the obtained t score was lower than P score at 0.05 level of significance for df=46. It means there is no significant difference in the mean of the groups. Therefore on the basis of

available evidences investigator concluded that students performed normal in achievement test, when teaching was done via traditional methods of teaching.

Group (N)	Degree of freedom 'df'	Mean 'M'	Standard deviation	SEm	t score	P score	Level of significanc e
Control Group (22)	46	10.86363	2.4357545	0.5193046 2388	3.3877	0.0015	difference is very statistically
Experimental course (26)		13.72727	3.2686362	0.6410323 0107			significant

Table 4.6 Post test comparison of control group and experimental group

Conclusion: A reference to the table 4.6 shows that the obtained t score was higher than P score at 0.05 level of significance for df=46. It means there is significant difference in the mean of the groups. Therefore on the basis of available evidences investigator concluded that students performed better in achievement test while teaching via activity based teaching.

4.4 Analysis of Feedback form

In feedback maximum students were impressed with activity based teaching. For the 26 students, detailed description of points is given below,

Points	Number of students (26)	Total		
35	1	35		
34 6		204		
33 2		66		
32	10	320		
31	04	124		
30	03	90		
		839		

Maximum points for 26 students were 910. All the students got 839 points, which is 92.19 percentages.

With reference to it investigator concluded that the students were extremely happy with activity based teaching.